Application of: Colin Cook et al.

Serial No.: 10/792,284 Filed: 3/4/2004

Amendment with RCE dated May 11, 2009

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application, in view of the present amendment and in light of the following discussions, is respectfully requested.

It is noted that the Information Disclosure Statement filed March 25, 2009, was inadvertently submitted (and therefore not signed). It was intended to be filed along with the enclosed RCE. There certification therein was incorrect as to how long the submitted references had been known. Any certification about when those references were first known is expressly withdrawn. As the IDS is being submitted along with the enclosed RCE, no certification is necessary. Furthermore, as the PAIR system shows that the references are already part of the file history, so copies of the references will not be resubmitted herewith.

Claims 1-5 are currently pending in the application. Claims 6-16 have been canceled, and claim 1 has been amended by the present amendment. The changes to the claims are supported by the originally filed specification and do not introduce any new matter. Support for the changes can be found in paragraphs [0038] and [0039].

In the advisory action, the previous changes to the claims were indicated as not placing the application in condition for allowance because the "'if' condition is satisfied whether the black border is found close to the expected location or whether the black border is not, because [the] '565 [patent] will always discard the adjusted screen board[er]." Claim 1 now states "if a black border is found close to the expected border location, using the screen resolution of the host computer detected at the VPS instead of discarding the adjusted screen border and loading a predetermined set of values for the screen border." In light of the admission in the Advisory Action that the '565 patent "always discard[s] the adjusted screen board[er]," the changes to claim 1 are not anticipated by the '565 patent.

Consequently, in view of the present amendment and in light of the above discussions, the previous grounds for rejection are believed to have been overcome and the pending claims

Application of: Colin Cook et al.

Serial No.: 10/792,284 Filed: 3/4/2004

Amendment with RCE dated May 11, 2009

are in condition for allowance. An early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

## CHARGE STATEMENT: Deposit Account No. 501860, order no. 2540-0702.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any missing or insufficient fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (missing or insufficiencies only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting Official Document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Accounting/Order Nos. shown above, for which purpose a duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

This CHARGE STATEMENT <u>does not authorize</u> charge of the <u>issue fee</u> until/unless an issue fee transmittal sheet is filed.

**CUSTOMER NUMBER** 

42624

Respectfully submitted,

By: Mchael/lase

Michael R. Casey (Reg. No. 40,294)

Davidson Berquist Jackson & Gowdey LLP 4300 Wilson Blvd., 7th Floor, Arlington, Virginia 22203 Main: (703) 894-6400 ● FAX: (703) 894-6430